# **APPENDIX**

A

**Cultural Heritage Screening** 



Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions a Division of Wood Canada Limited 3450 Harvester Road Burlington, Ontario L7N 3W5, Canada T: 905-335-2353 www.woodplc.com

Memo

**To**: City of Windsor **Date:** June 9, 2022

Engineering – Design and Development

350 City Hall Square West, Suite 310, Windsor, Ontario

From: Chelsea Dickinson, Cultural Heritage Specialist, Wood PLC

Heidy Schopf, Built Heritage and Cultural Landscape Team Lead, Wood PLC

Henry Cary, Senior Cultural Heritage Specialist, Wood PLC

Ref: Cultural Heritage Screening: City of Windsor Urban Parks Plan (Wood Ref. No.

IM22104012.8)

#### 1.0 Introduction

Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions ("Wood") was retained by the City of Windsor (the "Client") to conduct a cultural heritage screening as part of feasibility studies to propose a National Urban Park in the Ojibway Prairie Complex and adjacent areas in the City of Windsor, Ontario ("the Study Area"). The studies were initiated between the City of Windsor and Parks Canada and are currently considering 11 areas (Figure 1 and Figure 2A-Figure 2F). The areas under review include:

- 1. Ojibway Shores ("Ojibway Shores")
- 2. Black Oak Heritage Park ("Black Oak")
- 3. Ojibway Park
- 4. Ojibway Prairie Provincial Nature Reserve ("Ojibway Prairie")
- 5. Tallgrass Prairie Heritage Park ("Tallgrass")
- 6. Spring Garden Natural Area ("Spring Garden")
- 7. Oakwood Natural Area ("Oakwood")
- 8. Malden Park
- 9. South Cameron Woodlot Natural Area ("South Cameron")
- 10. Chappus Herb Gray Parkway Area ("Armanda")
- 11. St. Clair College Prairie and Woods ("St. Clair")

PLEASE NOTE: This communication is the property of Wood and/or its subsidiaries and/or affiliates and is intended only for the named recipient(s). Its contents (including any attachments) may be confidential, legally privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure by law. Unauthorized use, copying, distribution or disclosure of any of it may be unlawful and is strictly prohibited. Wood, its subsidiaries and affiliates assume no responsibility to persons other than the intended named recipient(s) and do not accept liability for any errors or omissions which are a result of transmission. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender immediately and confirm that the original communication and any attachments and copies have been destroyed.




Historically, the proposed park locations were located within the Geographic Township of Sandwich, County of Essex in the following Lots and Concessions (Figure 1 and Figure 2A-Figure 2F):


- Lots 42-59, Concession 1 Petite Cote
- Lots 43-50, Concession 2 Petite Cote
- Lots 63-71, 74-76 Concession 2 Petite Cote
- Lots 63-65, Concession 3 Petite Cote
- Lots 1-2 Concession 4 L Assumption


To identify known and potential built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes in the Study Area, this memo followed guidance outlined in the Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries (MHSTCI) *Criteria for Evaluating Potential for Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes: A Checklist for the Non-Specialist* ("the Checklist").

The approach and methodology used to complete the Checklist is provided in Section 2.0, the results from the Checklist are provided in Section 3.0, and recommendations are provided in Section 4.0. Historical aerial imagery is included in Appendix A, and the completed MHSTCI Checklist for each area provided in Appendix B.









PROJECT:

**CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING** CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL URBAN PARK WINDSOR, ONTARIO

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.8.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

ORIGINAL PAPER SIZE: 82 x 11.

2021 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS BY THE COUNTY OF ESSEX; CANMAP STREETFILES V2008.4.

> 300 500m SCALE 1:15000

The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1




#### Wood **Environment & Infrastructure Solutions**


201 KING STREET, 4TH FLOOR

LONDON, ONTARIO, N6A 1C9 519-681-2400



OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		2B






CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL URBAN PARK WINDSOR, ONTARIO

> AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS

2021 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS BY THE COUNTY OF ESSEX;




The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1



**Environment & Infrastructure Solutions** 



OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		2D



PROJECT:

CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL URBAN PARK WINDSOR, ONTARIO

> AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

STUDY AREA

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.8.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

ORIGINAL PAPER SIZE: 82 x 11.

2021 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS BY THE COUNTY OF ESSEX; CANMAP STREETFILES V2008.4.



The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1




#### Wood

**Environment & Infrastructure Solutions** 



DWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		2E





#### 2.0 Approach and Methodology

#### 2.1 Regulatory Requirements

The requirements to consider cultural heritage in Ontario are found in the *Provincial Policy Statement* (PPS) (Government of Ontario 2020) and the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.18 (Government of Ontario 1990).

#### 2.1.1 Provincial Policy Statement

The PPS provides policy direction on matters of provincial interest related to land use planning and development (Government of Ontario 2020:1). Under the PPS, the conservation of cultural heritage is identified as a matter of provincial interest. Section 2.6 of the PPS gives direction on the consideration of cultural heritage and archaeology (Government of Ontario 2020:31). Specifically, the following direction is given regarding built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes, and protected heritage properties:

2.6.1 Significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved

2.6.3 Planning authorities shall not permit development and site alteration on adjacent lands to protected heritage property except where the proposed development and site alteration has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that the heritage attributes of the protected heritage property will be conserved.

2.6.5 Planning authorities shall engage with Indigenous communities and consider their interests when identifying, protecting and managing cultural heritage and archaeological resources.

(Government of Ontario 2020: 31)

#### 2.1.2 Ontario Heritage Act

The *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c.018, provides a framework for the protection of cultural heritage resources in the province. It gives municipalities and the provincial government powers to protect heritage properties and archaeological sites. The *Ontario Heritage Act* includes two regulations for determining Cultural Heritage Value or Interest (CHVI): *Ontario Regulation* (O. Reg.) 9/06 and O. Reg. 10/06. O. Reg. 9/06 provides criteria to determine the CHVI of a property at a local level while O. Reg. 10/06 provides criteria to determine if a property has provincial significance (Government of Ontario 1990).

#### 2.2 **Guidance Documents**

The MHSTCI is responsible for the administration of the *Ontario Heritage Act* and has developed checklists, information bulletins, standards and guidelines, and policies to support the conservation of Ontario's cultural heritage resources, including built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes, and archaeological sites. For cultural heritage, the Checklist is used to screen for known (or recognized) heritage properties and properties with potential CHVI. The Checklist also includes includes screening criteria for local or Indigenous knowledge that may suggest a property acts as a landmark, has special historical associations, or is part of a cultural heritage landscape. The Checklist represents a high-level screening for properties with CHVI. Where properties with known or potential CHVI are identified as part of the Checklist, further work may be recommended as appropriate, such as a Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment (CHR), Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER), or Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA).





#### 2.3 Background Research

#### 2.3.1 Desktop Data Collection


The Checklist was completed through a combination of desktop data collection and municipal and agency information gathering conducted via phone and email. The desktop data collection was completed following the instructions provided in pages 4-8 of the Checklist. To identify known (or recognized) heritage properties, the following online sources were reviewed:


- City of Windsor's Cultural Heritage Application (City of Windsor 2022)
- Easements/Protections, (Ontario Heritage Trust 2022)
- Plaques Database (Ontario Heritage Trust 2021)
- Directory of Federal Heritage Designations (Parks Canada 2018)
- Designated Lighthouses (Parks Canada 2019)
- Directory of Designated Heritage Railway Stations in Ontario (Parks Canada 2022)
- World Heritage List (UNESCO 2022)
- Bereavement Authority of Ontario: Home, City of Windsor Cemeteries (2017)
- Canadian Heritage Rivers System (2022)

In addition, historical mapping and aerial photographs was reviewed to identify settlements, structures, and landscape features within and adjacent to the Study Area. Historical maps from 1877, 1881, 1905, 1910, 1913, 1923, 1924, 1939, 1957, 1961, 1962, 1974, 1975, 1979, 1986, 1995, 1996 and 2001 were reviewed during background research, although it was determined that the 1877, 1881, 1905, 1910/1913, 1957, 1974/1975, and 2001 maps best illustrated the significant changes in the Study Area (Figure 3-Figure 9). Aerial imagery from the University of Toronto (1954), City of Windsor Archives (1962, 1972a, 1972b, 1978a, 1978b, 1978c, 1978d, 1978e, 1978f), City of Windsor (2019) and recent Google Earth imagery were found to best document change in the Study Area (Appendix A).

The desktop data collection also included a search for previous investigations. One previous cultural heritage report was identified, and covered Malden Park, in the north central part of the Study Area. This cultural heritage screening was conducted by Golder Associates as part of the K+S Windsor Salt Ltd. Mining Rights Only Leases Renewal Class EA. However, since the Class EA is still in progress, the report was unavailable for review (NDMNRF 2021).







TITLE: 1881 ILLUSTRATED HISTORICAL ATLAS MAP OF THE COUNTY OF ESSEX - MAP OF E&W SANDWICH TOWNSHIPS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS

H. BELDEN & Co., 1881; CANMAP STREETFILES V2008.4.

1000 1500m

The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1





#### **Environment & Infrastructure Solutions**

LONDON, ONTARIO, N6A 1C9



DWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		4





CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL URBAN PARK WINDSOR, ONTARIO

AMHERSTBURG AND WINDSOR SHEETS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF MILITIA AND DEFENCE, 1910 & 1913; CANMAP

1500m

The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1




**Environment & Infrastructure Solutions** 

LONDON, ONTARIO, N6A 1C9 519-681-2400



OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		6



1957 TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS OF ONTARIO, AMHERSTBURG AND WINDSOR SHEETS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

STUDY AREA

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.8.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

ORIGINAL PAPER SIZE: 8½ x 11.

ARMY SURVEY ESTABLISHMENT, 1957; CANMAP STREETFILES

1500m 500 1000 SCALE 1:40000

The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1




#### Wood

**Environment & Infrastructure Solutions** 



OWN BY:	,	CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		7



1974/1975 TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS OF ONTARIO, AMHERSTBURG AND WINDSOR SHEETS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.8.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

ORIGINAL PAPER SIZE: 82 x 11.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES, 1974 & 1975; CANMAP STREETFILES V2008.4.

> 500 1000 1500m SCALE 1:40000

The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1




#### Wood

**Environment & Infrastructure Solutions** 



OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		8



2001 TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS OF ONTARIO, AMHERSTBURG AND WINDSOR SHEETS SHOWING THE LOCATION OF THE STUDY AREAS

THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS

NATURAL RESOURCES CANADA, 2001; CANMAP STREETFILES

500 1000 1500m SCALE 1:40000

The Corporation of the City of Windsor 350 CITY HALL SQUARE WEST, P.O. BOX 1607 WINDSOR, ONTARIO, N9A 6S1



#### Wood

**Environment & Infrastructure Solutions** 



OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	SJL	НВ	MAR. 21, 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No:
	NAD83	UTM Zone 17	IM22104012.8
REV No:			FIGURE No:
	0		9



#### 2.3.2 Information Gathering

The City of Windsor, Ontario Heritage Trust (OHT), and MHSTCI were contacted via email to determine whether listed, designated, or protected heritage properties are within and adjacent to the Study Area.

Kristina Tang, Heritage Planner at the City of Windsor, responded that there are no recognized heritage properties within the Study Area. Further communication determined that there was a historic plaque on the corner of Sandwich Street and Ojibway Parkway, outside of the Study Area.

Karla Barboza, Acting Team Lead at the MHSTCI, reported that there are no properties designated under the *Ontario Heritage Act* by the Minister or provincial heritage properties within, or adjacent to, the Study Area.

To date, no response has been received from the OHT.

It is recognized that cultural heritage resources are often of importance to Indigenous communities. Parks Canada is currently undertaking Indigenous Engagement as part of this project. Should the engagement determine that the Study Area contains known or potential cultural heritage resources that are of significance to Indigenous Nations, then this memo will be updated as appropriate.





#### 3.0 MHSTCI Checklist Results

From the results of the desktop data collection and municipal and agency information gathering, a Checklist was completed for each of the 11 areas to establish the presence, or absence, of known or potential cultural heritage resources within the Study Area. A summary of the 11 checklists is provided in Table 1. An "X" is used where the answer to one of the Checklist questions is "No", while a red underlined checkmark is used when the response to a question is "Yes". The completed checklists are provided in Appendix B.



Table 1: Summary of Checklist Screening Questions for Potential Park Locations

						ential Park Loca					
Screening Questions	Ojibway Shores	Black Oak	Ojibway Park	Ojibway Prairie	Tallgrass	Spring Garden	Oakwood	Malden Park	South Cameron	Armanda	St. Clair
Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value				•	•		•				
Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and not found to be of cultural heritage value?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
3. Is the property (or project area):											
a. Identified, designated or otherwise protected under the Ontario Heritage Act as being of cultural heritage value?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
b. A National Historic Site (or part of)?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
c. Designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
d. Designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
e. Identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
f. Located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Part B: Screening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value											
4. Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:											
a. Is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
b. Has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
c. Is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?	<u>~</u>	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×



	Potential Park Locations										
Screening Questions	Ojibway Shores	Black Oak	Ojibway Park	Ojibway Prairie	Tallgrass	Spring Garden	Oakwood	Malden Park	South Cameron	Armanda	St. Clair
d. Contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?	×	×	×	×	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	×	×	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	×
Part C: Other Considerations					•						
5. Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area):	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
<ul> <li>a. Is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?</li> </ul>	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
b. Has a special association with a community, person, or historical event?	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
c. Contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	×	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	<u>~</u>	~	×	×	×	×

Project No. IM22104012.9



#### 4.0 Discussion and Recommendations

From completion of the Checklists, it was determined that there are nine areas with potential CHVI. Table 2 provides a summary of the results. Grey shading is utilized to indicate properties with potential CHVI which are recommended for further work.

Since the CHVI of these locations have not been confirmed, any proposed development should be proceeded by a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER). The CHER should include detailed historical research, information gathering, and fieldwork to document existing conditions and any potential heritage attributes of the property. The property should also be evaluated for CHVI using the criteria prescribed in O. Reg. 9/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* (Government of Canada 1990, 2006). If the CHER determines that the property has CHVI, then a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value and list of heritage attributes will be drafted.

These results and recommendations are summarized in Table 2 and depicted in Figure 10.



### Table 2: Cultural Heritage Recommendations for Potential Park Locations


Proposed Park Location	Key Findings	Potential CHVI	Recommendations
Ojibway Shores	<ul> <li>The property is located directly adjacent to the Detroit River, a Canadian Heritage River.</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
Black Oak	<ul> <li>The property is located within the Ojibway Prairie Complex cultural heritage landscape (Ojibway Nature Centre 2011, 2022).</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
Ojibway Park	<ul> <li>The property is located within the Ojibway Prairie Complex cultural heritage landscape (Ojibway Nature Centre 2011, 2022).</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
Ojibway Prairie	<ul> <li>The property is located within the Ojibway Prairie Complex cultural heritage landscape (Ojibway Nature Centre 2011, 2022).</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
Tallgrass	<ul> <li>The property is located within the Ojibway Prairie Complex cultural heritage landscape (Ojibway Nature Centre 2011, 2022).</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
Spring Garden	<ul> <li>The property is located within the Ojibway Prairie Complex cultural heritage landscape (Ojibway Nature Centre 2011, 2022).</li> <li>Visible structures present as early as the 1957 historical mapping located within the current proposed park boundaries on the northern and western edges of the property (Figure 7).</li> <li>A community centre, present on the 1975 historical mapping is located within the property (Figure 8).</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
Oakwood	<ul> <li>The property is located within the Ojibway Prairie Complex cultural heritage landscape (Ojibway Nature Centre 2022).</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
Malden Park	- To date research has not indicated the presence of known or potential cultural heritage resources within the property however it is understood that the results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.	No	No Further Work
South Cameron	- Visible structures present as early as the 1939 and 1957 historical mapping located within the current proposed park boundaries on the in the northeast corner of the property (Geographical Section, General Staff, Department of National Defence, 1939; Figure 7).	Yes	CHER Recommended

Project No. IM22104012.9



Proposed Park Location	Key Findings	Potential CHVI	Recommendations
Armanda	<ul> <li>Visible structures present as early as the 1957 historical mapping located within the current proposed park boundaries on the northern, eastern, and western edges of the property (Figure 7).</li> <li>The results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.</li> </ul>	Yes	CHER Recommended
St. Clair	- To date research has not indicated the presence of known or potential cultural heritage resources within the property however it is understood that the results of the checklist and the evaluation for potential CHVI are subject to change as part of the ongoing Indigenous engagement/consultation process.	No	No Further Work

Project No. IM22104012.9



TE PLOTTED: 6/7/2022 10:11:21 AM



#### 4.1 Summary of Recommendations

Based on the completion of the MHSTCI Checklist and associated background review, the following recommendations are made:

- It is recognized that cultural heritage resources are often of importance to Indigenous
  communities and that Parks Canada is currently undertaking Indigenous Engagement as part of
  this project. Until Indigenous Engagement is complete, the results of the cultural heritage
  screening are subject to change. Once Indigenous Engagement is complete, this memo will be
  updated as appropriate.
- 2. From completion of the Checklists, it was determined that there are nine areas with potential CHVI (Ojibway Shores, Black Oak, Ojibway Park, Ojibway Prairie, Tallgrass, Spring Garden, Oakwood, South Cameron, and Armanda).
  - o In the event of proposed development these locations are recommended for further work in the form of a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) since the CHVI of these locations have not been confirmed. If required, the CHER should include detailed historical research, information gathering, and fieldwork to document existing conditions and any potential heritage attributes. From this compiled information the location should then be evaluated for CHVI using the criteria prescribed in O. Reg. 9/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* (Government of Canada 1990, 2006)
- 3. No further cultural heritage work is recommended for the remaining two potential park locations (Malden Parkand St. Clair).

We trust that the information presented in this memo meets your current requirements. Should you have any questions, or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Wood Environment & Infrastructure Solutions,** 

a Division of Wood Canada Limited

Prepared By:

Chelsea Dickinson, B.A. (R1194) Cultural Heritage Specialist

Reviewed By:

Heidy Schopf, MES, CAHP

Built and Landscape Heritage Team Lead

Henry Cany PhD CAHP

Henry Cary, PhD, CAHP, RPA P327 Senior Staff Archaeologist



#### 5.0 References

Beldon H. & Co.

Illustrated Historical Atlas Map of the Counties of Essex and Kent - Map of E&W Sandwich Townships. Available online: <a href="https://digital.library.mcgill.ca/countyatlas/searchmapframes.php">https://digital.library.mcgill.ca/countyatlas/searchmapframes.php</a>. Last accessed March 24, 2022.

#### Bereavement Authority of Ontario (BAO)

The Bereavement Authority of Ontario Public Register, The City of Windsor: (Search Results). Available online: <a href="https://licensees.thebao.ca/search-results?searchParams=%7eWindsor%7e%7e%7e%7e%7e%8Subject=PubBizByNamePro&Title=Public%20Register%20-%20Businesses">https://licensees.thebao.ca/search-results?searchParams=%7eWindsor%7e%7e%7e%7e%8Subject=PubBizByNamePro&Title=Public%20Register%20-%20Businesses</a> Last accessed March 24, 2022.

#### Canadian Heritage Rivers System

Detroit River, Ontario. Available online: <a href="https://chrs.ca/en/rivers/detroit-river">https://chrs.ca/en/rivers/detroit-river</a>. Last accessed March 24, 2022.

#### City of Windsor

- 2019 MyWindsorAerial 2019 | 2000 Application. Available online: <a href="http://www.mappmycity.ca/MyWindsorAerial/index.html">http://www.mappmycity.ca/MyWindsorAerial/index.html</a>. Last accessed March 30, 2022.
- 2022 Cultural Heritage Application. Available online:

  <a href="http://www.mappmycity.ca/Html5Viewer/Index.html?configBase=http://www.mappmycity.ca/Geocortex/Essentials/REST/sites/Cultural Heritage/viewers/Viewer HTML5 20/virtualdirectory/Resources/Config/Default. Last accessed March 28, 2022.</a>

#### City of Windsor Archives

- 1962 Aerial Photograph. Reference No. A17632 67. Department of Energy, Mines and Resources. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1972a Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 1-72-4211, 31-66. Lockwood Survey Corporation Limited. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1972b Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 1-72-4211, 31-64. Lockwood Survey Corporation Limited. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1972c Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 1-72-4212 31-139. Lockwood Survey Corporation Limited. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1978a Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 78-4219 230, 3. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1978b Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 78-4219 230, 5. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1978c Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 78-4210 230, 2. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1978d Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 78-4218 230, 90. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1978e Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 78-4219 230, 6. Provided by the City of Windsor.
- 1978f Aerial Photograph. Reference No. 78-4218 230, 92. Provided by the City of Windsor.

#### Geographical Section, General Staff, Department of National Defence

- Topographic Map of Ontario, Amherstburg Sheet. Amherstburg, Ontario. 1:63,360. Map Sheet 040J03, [ed. 2], 1924. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP63360K040J03\_1924TIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022
- National Topographic Series Canada Sheet 40. Windsor, Ontario. Windsor, Ontario. 1:63,360. Map Sheet 040J03-J06, [ed. 1], 1939. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=HTDP63360K040J03-">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=HTDP63360K040J03-</a>





#### J06 1939TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022

#### Government of Canada

- Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter O.18. Last amendment: 1 July 2019. Electronic document: <a href="https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90018">https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90018</a>. Last accessed March 25, 2022.
- 2006 O. Reg. 9/06: Criteria for Determining Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. Electronic document: <a href="https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/060009">https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/060009</a>. Last accessed March 30, 2022.
- 2020 Provincial Policy Statement. Electronic document: <a href="https://files.ontario.ca/mmah-provincial-policy-statement-2020-accessible-final-en-2020-02-14.pdf">https://files.ontario.ca/mmah-provincial-policy-statement-2020-accessible-final-en-2020-02-14.pdf</a>. Last accessed March 25, 2022.

#### McPhillips, G.

1905 Plan of the Townships of Sandwich West, East, And South – The Towns of Walkerville and Sandwich and the City of Windsor. Published by the Canadian Department of Agriculture. Available online: <a href="http://swoda.uwindsor.ca/node/3607">http://swoda.uwindsor.ca/node/3607</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.

#### Ministry of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries (MHSTCI)

2016 Criteria for Evaluating Potential for Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes A Checklist for the Non-Specialist. Available online:

<a href="http://www.mtc.gov.on.ca/en/heritage/tools.shtml">http://www.mtc.gov.on.ca/en/heritage/tools.shtml</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.

#### Ministry of Northern Development, Mines, Natural Resources and Forestry (NDMNRF)

2021 Class EA Projects: K+S Windsor Salt Ltd. Mining Rights Only Leases Renewal. Last updated May 3, 2021. Available online: <a href="https://www.mndm.gov.on.ca/en/mines-and-minerals/mining-act/class-environmental-assessment-activities/ks-windsor-salt-ltd-mining">https://www.mndm.gov.on.ca/en/mines-and-minerals/mining-act/class-environmental-assessment-activities/ks-windsor-salt-ltd-mining</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.

#### Natural Resources Canada

- 1957a National Topographic System Canada Sheet 40. Windsor, Ontario Windsor (East) Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J06, ed. 3, 1957. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J06\_1957ed3ETIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:

  <a href="mailto:true">true</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1957b Amherstburg Ontario Topographic Map. Amherstburg (East) Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J03, ed. 1, 1957. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J03\_1957ed1ETIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J03\_1957ed1ETIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1974 Amherstburg Ontario Topographic Map. Amherstburg Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J03, ed. 2, 1974. Available online:

  <a href="mailto:http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J03\_1974ed2mceTIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J03\_1974ed2mceTIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1975 Windsor Ontario Topographic Map. Windsor Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J06, ed. 4, 1975. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J06 1975ed4mceTIFF. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1979a Windsor Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Windsor Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J06, ed. 5, 1979. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J06 1979ed5mceTIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1979b Amherstburg Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Amherstburg Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J03, ed. 3, 1979. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J03\_1979ed3mceTIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J03\_1979ed3mceTIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.



- 1986a Windsor Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Windsor Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J06, ed. 6, 1986. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J06 1986ed6mceTIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1986b Amherstburg Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Amherstburg Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J03, ed. 4, 1986. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J03 1986ed4mceTIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1995 Windsor Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Windsor Ontario. 1:50,000.

  Map Sheet 040J06, ed. 7, 1995. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J06\_1995ed7mceTIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=NTS50K040J06\_1995ed7mceTIFF&\_add:true\_nozoom:true</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- Amherstburg Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Amherstburg Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J03, ed. 5, 1996 Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J03 1996ed5TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 2001a Windsor Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Windsor Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J06, ed. 8, 2001. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J06 2001ed8TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 2001b Amherstburg Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Amherstburg Ontario. 1:50,000. Map Sheet 040J03, ed. 6, 2001. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=NTS50K040J03 2001ed6TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.

#### Ontario Heritage Trust

- 2021 An inventory of provincial plaques across Ontario. Available online:

  <a href="https://www.heritagetrust.on.ca/user-assets/documents/2021-Provincial-plaques-Open-data-v02-FINAL-ENG.pdf">https://www.heritagetrust.on.ca/user-assets/documents/2021-Provincial-plaques-Open-data-v02-FINAL-ENG.pdf</a>. Last accessed March 24, 2022.
- Ontario Heritage Act Register, City of Windsor (Search Results). Available online: <a href="https://www.heritagetrust.on.ca/en/oha/search-results?handle=pow-form&backlinkslug=advanced-search&fields%5Blimit%5D=200&fields%5Bproperty\_name%5D=&fields%5Baddress%5D=&fields%5Blocation%5D=9%2C441&fields%5Bconstructed\_from%5D=&fields%5Bconstructed\_to%5D=&fields%5Bheritage\_function\_category%5D=-1&fields%5Bdocument\_type\_category%5D=-1\_Last\_accessed\_March\_23, 2022.

#### Ojibway Nature Centre

- 2011 History of Ojibway Prairie (Version AUG2011). Department of Parks & Recreation, Windsor, Ontario. Available online: <a href="http://www.ojibway.ca/history.htm">http://www.ojibway.ca/history.htm</a>
- 2022 Ojibway Prairie Complex. Available online. <a href="http://ojibway.ca/complex.htm">http://ojibway.ca/complex.htm</a>

#### Page H.R & Co.

Historical County Map of Essex County. Published by R.M. Tackabury. Available online: <a href="https://utoronto.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=8cc6be34f6b54992b27da17467492d2f">https://utoronto.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=8cc6be34f6b54992b27da17467492d2f</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.



#### Parks Canada

- Directory of Federal Heritage Designations. City of Windsor (Search Results) Available online: <a href="https://www.pc.gc.ca/apps/dfhd/results-resultats-eng.aspx?p=1&m=10&q=city+of+windsor&desCheck=NHS&desCheck=EVENT&desCheck=PERSON&desCheck=HRS&desCheck=FHBRO&desCheck=HL&c=&ctl00%24Main%24PageSearch1%24ddlCustodian=. Last accessed March 23, 2022.
- National Historic Sites, Designated Lighthouses, Ontario. Available online: <a href="https://www.pc.gc.ca/en/lhn-nhs/pp-hl/page01">https://www.pc.gc.ca/en/lhn-nhs/pp-hl/page01</a>. Last accessed March 23, 2022.
- The Directory of Designated Heritage Railway Stations in Ontario. Available online: <a href="https://www.pc.gc.ca/en/culture/clmhc-hsmbc/pat-her/gar-sta/on">https://www.pc.gc.ca/en/culture/clmhc-hsmbc/pat-her/gar-sta/on</a>. Last accessed March 23, 2022.

#### Survey Division, Department of Militia and Defence

- 1910 Topographic Map of Ontario, Amherstburg Sheet. Amherstburg, Ontario. 1:63,360. Map Sheet 040J03, [ed. 1], 1910. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP63360K040J03 1910TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022
- Topographic Map of Ontario, Windsor Sheet. Windsor, Ontario. 1:63,360. Map Sheet 040J06, [ed. 1], 1913. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP63360K040J06 1913TIFF. Last accessed March 28, 2022
- 1923 Topographic Map of Ontario, Windsor Sheet. Windsor, Ontario. 1:63,360. Map Sheet 040J06, [ed. 2], 1923. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP63360K040J06 1923TIFF. Last accessed March 28, 2022
- 1961a Topographic Map of Ontario, Windsor Sheet. Windsor, Ontario. 1:25,000. Map Sheet 040J06A, ed. 1, 1961. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP25K040J06a 1961TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1961b Topographic Map of Ontario, Windsor Area River Canard Sheet. River Canard, Ontario. 1:25,000. Map Sheet 040J03H, ed. 1, 1961. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP25K040J03h 1961TIFF. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1962a Topographic Map of Ontario, Windsor Sheet. Windsor, Ontario. 1:25,000. Map Sheet 040J06A, ed. 2, 1962. Available online:

  <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP25K040J06a 1962TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1962b Topographic Map of Ontario, River Canard Sheet. River Canard, Ontario. 1:25,000. Map Sheet 040J03H, ed. 2, 1962. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/</a> uri@=HTDP25K040J03h 1962TIFF. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- Topographic Map of Ontario, Windsor Area River Canard Sheet. River Canard, Ontario. 1:25,000. Map Sheet 040J03H, ed. 3, 1974. Available online: <a href="http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=HTDP25K040J03h\_1974TIFF">http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/\_uri@=HTDP25K040J03h\_1974TIFF</a>. Last accessed March 28, 2022.
- 1975 Windsor Canada-United States of America. Ontario Topographic Map. Windsor, Ontario. 1:25,000. Map Sheet 040J06A, ed. 3, 1975. Available online:



http://geo.scholarsportal.info/#r/details/ uri@=HTDP25K040J06a 1975TIFF& add:true nozoom:true. Last accessed March 28, 2022.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

2022 Canada, Properties inscribed on the World Heritage List. Available online: <a href="https://whc.unesco.org/en/statesparties/ca">https://whc.unesco.org/en/statesparties/ca</a>. Last accessed March 23, 2022.

#### University of Toronto

1954a 1954 Air Photos of Southern Ontario: 422.831. Available online: <a href="https://maps.library.utoronto.ca/datapub/Ontario/APS 1954/zipped/422.831.zip">https://maps.library.utoronto.ca/datapub/Ontario/APS 1954/zipped/422.831.zip</a>. Last accessed March 30, 2022.

1954b 1954 Air Photos of Southern Ontario: 421.831. Available online: <a href="https://maps.library.utoronto.ca/datapub/Ontario/APS\_1954/zipped/421.831.zip">https://maps.library.utoronto.ca/datapub/Ontario/APS\_1954/zipped/421.831.zip</a>. Last accessed March 30, 2022.



## APPENDIX A: HISTORIC AERIALS



1954



2000



1978



2010

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, OJIBWAY SHORES 1954-2010

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

200 300 400 m SCALE: 1:12,000

CLIENT:



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions

3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	CD	HC	9 JUNE 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No.:
	WGS 84	UTM ZONE 17	IM22104012
REV No.:			FIGURE No.:
	0		B1



1954



2000



**1978** 



2010

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, BLACK OAK 1954-2010

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



STUDY AREA

CLIENT:

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

0 100 200 300 400 m

SCALE: 1:18,000



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions

3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	CD	HC	9 JUNE 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No.:
	WGS 84	UTM ZONE 17	IM22104012
REV No.:			FIGURE No.:
	0		B2



2000





2010

PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, OJIBWAY PARK 1954-2010

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



# STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

100 200 300 400 m

SCALE: 1:17,000

CLIENT:



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions 3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3


9 JUNE 2022 DATUM: PROJECTION: PROJECT No.: IM22104012 UTM ZONE 17 WGS 84 REV No.: FIGURE No.:



**1954** 



1978



1962



2002

PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, OJIBWAY PRAIRIE 1954-2002

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

0 100 200 300 400 m

SCALE:1:20,000

CLIENT:



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions

3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	CD	HC	9 JUNE 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No.:
	WGS 84	UTM ZONE 17	IM22104012
REV No.:			FIGURE No.:
	0		B4



2010



PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, TALLGRASS 1978-2010

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



# STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

100 200 300 400 m

SCALE:1:14,250

CLIENT:



# CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions


3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	CD	HC	9 JUNE 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No.:
	WGS 84	UTM ZONE 17	IM22104012
REV No.:			FIGURE No.:
	0		B5





1978



1972



2010

PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, SPRING GARDEN 1962-2010

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

0 100 200 300 400 m

SCALE:1:22,000

CLIENT:



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions

3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

OWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	CD	HC	9 JUNE 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No.:
	WGS 84	UTM ZONE 17	IM22104012
REV No.:			FIGURE No.:
	0		В6







PROJECT:

CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, OAKWOOD 1954-2004

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



# STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012..

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

100 200 300 400 m SCALE:1:12,250

CLIENT:



# CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

DWN BY: 9 JUNE 2022 DATUM: PROJECTION: PROJECT No.: IM22104012 UTM ZONE 17 WGS 84 REV No.: FIGURE No.:

1972



2000



1978



2010

PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, MALDEN PARK 1954-2010

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



# STUDY AREA

CLIENT:

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

0 100 200 300 400 m

SCALE:1:17,575



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions 3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

9 June 2022 DATUM: PROJECTION: PROJECT No.: IM22104012 UTM ZONE 17 WGS 84 REV No.: FIGURE No.:





1978



PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, SOUTH CAMERON 1954-2015

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

500 750 1,000 m

SCALE:1:27,750 CLIENT:



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions

3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

DWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	CD	HC	9 JUNE 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No.:
	WGS 84	UTM ZONE 17	IM22104012
REV No.:			FIGURE No.:
	0		В9
		•	•





1972



PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, ARMANDA 1954-2010

I FGFND:

STUDY AREA



# STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

200 300 400 m SCALE:1:11,900

CLIENT:



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



Infrastructure Solutions 3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

DWN BY:		CHK'D BY:	DATE:
	CD	HC	9 JUNE 2022
DATUM:		PROJECTION:	PROJECT No.:
	WGS 84	UTM ZONE 17	IM22104012
REV No.:			FIGURE No.:
I	0		B10

2006



1954





PROJECT:
CULTURAL HERITAGE SCREENING
CITY OF WINDSOR PROPOSED NATIONAL
URBAN PARK
WINDSOR, ONTARIO

TITLE:

AERIAL IMAGERY, ST. CLAIR 1954-2000

LEGEND:

STUDY AREA



STUDY AREA

NOTES: THIS DRAWING SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS REPORT No. IM22104012.

ALL LOCATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE.

REFERENCES: CITY OF WINDSOR / BASE AERIAL MICROSOFT® BING™

200 300 m SCALE:1:9,000

CLIENT:



CITY OF WINDSOR

350 CITY HALL SQUARE EAST, SUITE 310, WINDSOR, ONTARIO



3450 HARVESTER ROAD, SUITE 100 BURLINGTON, ONTARIO L7N 3W3

DWN BY: 9 JUNE 2022 DATUM: PROJECTION: PROJECT No.: UTM ZONE 17 IM22104012 WGS 84 REV No.: FIGURE No.:



# APPENDIX B: MHSTCI CHECKLISTS

	Property Name Vindsor Urban Parks Plan - Ojibway Shores		
	Property Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)		
Proponent City of W			
Proponent	Contact Information		
Screening	g Questions		
1 la Mari		Yes	No
	re a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		<b>✓</b>
	ease follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, con	tinue to Question 2.		
Part A: So	creening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
		Yes	No
2. Has th	ne property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <b>not</b> to be of cultural heritage value?		<b>/</b>
If Yes, do	not complete the rest of the checklist.		
The propo	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The summ	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, con	tinue to Question 3.		
		Yes	No
3. Is the	property (or project area):		
a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		<b>✓</b>
b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?	П	1
C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?	П	1
d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?	Ī	<b>V</b>
e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		1
f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		<b>✓</b>
If Yes to a	any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
If a Staten proposed,	nent of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No, con	tinue to Question 4.		

Pa	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does t	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		1
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		1
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?	<b>✓</b>	
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		1
Pa	rt C: 01	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is ther	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area)	Ė	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		1
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?		$\checkmark$
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the r within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>lo</b> to all	l of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	e propo	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the conclusion		
	•	add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e summ	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

•	Property Name Vindsor Urban Parks Plan - Black Oak Heritage Park		
	Property Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)		-
City of V			
Proponent			
City of V			
Proponent	Contact Information		
Screenin	g Questions		
		Yes	No
1. Is the	re a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		$\checkmark$
If Yes, ple	ease follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, con	tinue to Question 2.		
Part A: S	creening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
		Yes	No
2. Has t	ne property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <b>not</b> to be of cultural heritage value?		$\checkmark$
If Yes, do	not complete the rest of the checklist.		
The propo	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The sumr	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, cor	tinue to Question 3.		
		Yes	No
3. Is the	property (or project area):		
a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		<b>✓</b>
b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>/</b>
C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		1
d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		$\checkmark$
e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		1
f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		<b>✓</b>
If Yes to	any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
	ment of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are , you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No, cor	ntinue to Question 4.		

Pa	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		$\checkmark$
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		<b>/</b>
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		<b>/</b>
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is ther	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area	):	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		<b>V</b>
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	<b>V</b>	
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the or within the project area.		
Υc	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:	0	
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>No</b> to all operty.	I of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	е ргоро	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
		summarize the conclusion		
		add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	٠	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

	Property Name		
	/indsor Urban Parks Plan - Ojibway Park		
-	Property Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)		
City of W			
City of W			
	Contact Information		
Screening	g Questions		
		Yes	No
1. Is the	re a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		$\checkmark$
If Yes, ple	ease follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, con	tinue to Question 2.		
Part A: So	creening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
W		Voc	No
2. Has th	ne property (or project area) been evaluated before and found not to be of cultural heritage value?	Yes	No 🗸
	not complete the rest of the checklist.		<b>V</b>
	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, con	tinue to Question 3.		
		Yes	No
3. Is the	property (or project area):		
a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		<b>/</b>
b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>V</b>
C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		<b>✓</b>
d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		$\checkmark$
e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		<b>✓</b>
f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		1
If Yes to a	any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
	nent of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are , you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No, con	ntinue to Question 4.		

Pa	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		<b>V</b>
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		<b>V</b>
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		<b>√</b>
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		<b>✓</b>
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is the	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area)	):	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		<b>/</b>
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		$\checkmark$
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	<b>/</b>	
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the or within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		perty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to dified person(s) to undertake:	)	
		a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	No to alloperty.	l of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	е ргоро	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
		summarize the conclusion		
		add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumr	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

•	roperty Name 'indsor Urban Parks Plan - Ojibway Prairie Provincial Nature Reserve		
Project or P	roperty Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)  Vindsor & County of Essex		_
Proponent N City of W			
Proponent (	Contact Information		
Screening	Questions		
		Yes	No
1. Is there	e a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		$\checkmark$
If Yes, plea	ase follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, cont	tinue to Question 2.		
Part A: Sc	reening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
		Yes	No
2. Has th	e property (or project area) been evaluated before and found not to be of cultural heritage value?		<b>V</b>
If Yes, do	not complete the rest of the checklist.		
The propo	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The summ	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, cont	tinue to Question 3.		
		Yes	No
3. Is the	property (or project area):		
a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		$\checkmark$
b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>V</b>
C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		$\checkmark$
d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		✓
e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		$\checkmark$
f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		$\checkmark$
If Yes to a	any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
	nent of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No, cont	tinue to Question 4.		

Pa	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		1
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		<b>/</b>
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		<b>V</b>
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		$\checkmark$
Pa	rt C: 01	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is ther	e local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area)	):	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		1
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		<b>✓</b>
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	<b>/</b>	
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the r within the project area.		
Υc	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:	)	
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>No</b> to all operty.	of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	е ргоро	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the conclusion		
		add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
		maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

•		operty Name		
		indsor Urban Parks Plan - Tallgrass Prairie Heritage Park		
City of		operty Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality) indsor		
Propone				
City of				
Propone	nt C	Contact Information		
Screen	ing	Questions		
			Yes	No
1. <b>is</b> t	nere	e a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		✓
If Yes,	plea	ase follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, c	onti	nue to Question 2.		
Part A:	Sc	reening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
2. Ha:	s th	e property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <b>not</b> to be of cultural heritage value?		<b>✓</b>
		not complete the rest of the checklist.		ب
·		nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
THE PIC	•			
		summarize the previous evaluation and add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage		
		evaluation was undertaken		
The su	nm	ary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, o	ont	inue to Question 3.		
			Yes	No
3. Is t	he į	property (or project area):		
	a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		<b>/</b>
	b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>V</b>
	C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		<b>V</b>
	d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		✓
	e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		
	f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		✓
If Yes	o a	ny of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
		nent of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No,	ont	inue to Question 4.		

Pa	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does t	he property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		<b>✓</b>
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		1
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		<b>V</b>
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?	<b>V</b>	
Pa	rt C: Ot	her Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is ther	e local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area)	0	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		<b>V</b>
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	<b>V</b>	
		ne or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the r within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>lo</b> to all operty.	of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	е ргоро	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the conclusion		
	•	add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e summ	pary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the <i>Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act</i> processes		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

•	roperty Name 'indsor Urban Parks Plan - Spring Garden Natural Area		
	roperty Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)		
City of W	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Proponent!			
City of W			
Proponent (	Contact Information		
Screening	g Questions		
		Yes	No
1. Is ther	e a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		✓
If Yes, ple	ase follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, cont	tinue to Question 2.		-0-
Part A: So	reening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
		Yes	No
2. Has th	ne property (or project area) been evaluated before and found not to be of cultural heritage value?		<b>V</b>
If Yes, do	not complete the rest of the checklist.		
The propo	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The summ	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, con	tinue to Question 3.		
		Yes	No
3. Is the	property (or project area):		
a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the Ontario Heritage Act as being of cultural heritage value?		<b>✓</b>
b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>/</b>
C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		<b>✓</b>
d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		<b>✓</b>
e,	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		<b>V</b>
f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		$\checkmark$
If Yes to a	any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
	nent of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No, con	tinue to Question 4.		

Pa	rt B: So	creening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?	П	
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		<u></u>
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		<b>\</b>
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?	✓	
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is the	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area	):	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		<b>/</b>
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		<b>/</b>
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	<b>✓</b>	
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the or within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:	כ	
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>lo</b> to al	I of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	e propo	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the conclusion		
		add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

Project or Property Name City of Windsor Urban Parks Plan - Oakwood Natural Area Project or Property Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality) City of Windsor Proponent Name City of Windsor **Proponent Contact Information** Screening Questions Yes No 1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place? 1 If Yes, please follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process. If No, continue to Question 2. Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value Yes No 2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and found **not** to be of cultural heritage value? 1 If Yes, do not complete the rest of the checklist. The proponent, property owner and/or approval authority will: summarize the previous evaluation and add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken The summary and appropriate documentation may be: submitted as part of a report requirement maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority If No, continue to Question 3. Yes No Is the property (or project area): a. identified, designated or otherwise protected under the Ontario Heritage Act as being of cultural heritage 1 value? b. a National Historic Site (or part of)? c. designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act? d. designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act? e. identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)? located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site? If Yes to any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake: a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated If a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake: a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) - the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts If No, continue to Question 4.

Pa	rt B: So	creening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		<b>V</b>
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		<b>V</b>
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		<b>/</b>
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		<b>/</b>
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is the	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area	ı <b>)</b> :	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		1
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		$\checkmark$
	C,	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?	$\checkmark$	
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the or within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
		a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:	<b>o</b>	
		a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>No</b> to al	I of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	e propo	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
		summarize the conclusion		
		add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
		maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

-		operty Name indsor Urban Parks Plan - Malden Park		
_		roperty Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)		
City of				
Propone				
City of				
Propone	nt C	Contact Information		
Screen	ing	Questions		
1 leth	ore	e a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?	Yes	No 🗸
		ase follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
V.		nue to Question 2.		
Part A:	Sc	reening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
2. Has	th	e property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <b>not</b> to be of cultural heritage value?		<b>1</b>
If Yes,	ob	not complete the rest of the checklist.		
The pro	por	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
	•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The sur	nm	ary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
	•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, c	ont	inue to Question 3.		
			Yes	No
3. Is the	ne p	property (or project area):		
	a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		<b>✓</b>
	b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>/</b>
	C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		<b>/</b>
	d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		<b>/</b>
	e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		<b>1</b>
	f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		<b>✓</b>
If Yes t	o a	ny of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
		nent of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No, o	ont	inue to Question 4.		

Pai	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		$\checkmark$
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		<b>✓</b>
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		1
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is the	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area	ı):	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		<b>/</b>
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?		1
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the r within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
	•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need t lified person(s) to undertake:	0	
		a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) - the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>No</b> to all operty.	l of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	e propo	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
		summarize the conclusion		
	•	add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
		maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

•	roperty Name		
	indsor Urban Parks Plan - South Cameron Woodlot Natural Area		
City of W	roperty Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality) indsor		
Proponent N			
City of W			
Proponent 0	Contact Information		
M.			
Screening	Questions		
		Yes	No
1. Is ther	e a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		✓
If Yes, ple	ase follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, cont	inue to Question 2.		
Part A: So	reening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
4-		Yes	No
2 Has th	e property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <b>not</b> to be of cultural heritage value?		₩.
	not complete the rest of the checklist.		•
	nent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
•	summarize the previous evaluation and		
•	add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The summ	ary and appropriate documentation may be:		
•	submitted as part of a report requirement		
•	maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, conf	tinue to Question 3.		
		Yes	No
3. Is the	property (or project area):		
a.	identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage value?		<b>✓</b>
b.	a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>V</b>
C.	designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		<b>✓</b>
d.	designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		<b>/</b>
e.	identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		✓
f.	located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		$\checkmark$
If Yes to a	nny of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated		
	nent of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
If No, con	tinue to Question 4.		

0500E (2016/11)

Pai	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		1
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		$\checkmark$
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		$\checkmark$
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?	$\checkmark$	
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is the	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area	);	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		<b>✓</b>
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?		$\checkmark$
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the r within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
		a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:	0	
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>lo</b> to al	I of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	e propo	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
		summarize the conclusion		
	•	add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
		submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
		maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

Project or Property Name		
City of Windsor Urban Parks Plan - Chappus – Herb Gray Parkway Area		
Project or Property Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality)  City of Windsor		
Proponent Name		
City of Windsor		
Proponent Contact Information		
Screening Questions		
	Yes	No
1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place?		$\checkmark$
If Yes, please follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process.		
If No, continue to Question 2.		
Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value		
	Yes	No
2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and found <b>not</b> to be of cultural heritage value?		1
If Yes, do not complete the rest of the checklist.		
The proponent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
summarize the previous evaluation and		
add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken		
The summary and appropriate documentation may be:		
submitted as part of a report requirement		
maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		
If No, continue to Question 3.		
	Yes	No
3. Is the property (or project area):		
a. identified, designated or otherwise protected under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as being of cultural heritage		<b>/</b>
value?		_
b. a National Historic Site (or part of)?		<b>✓</b>
c. designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act?		<b>V</b>
d. designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act?		<b>V</b>
e. identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)?		<b>✓</b>
f. located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site?		$\checkmark$
If Yes to any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
<ul> <li>a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated</li> </ul>		
If a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
<ul> <li>a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts</li> </ul>		
If No, continue to Question 4.		

Pa	rt B: So	reening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does	the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:		
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		1
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		$\checkmark$
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		$\checkmark$
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?	$\checkmark$	
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is the	re local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area	):	
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		<b>√</b>
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		$\checkmark$
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?		<b>/</b>
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the or within the project area.		
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
		a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		erty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need to lified person(s) to undertake:	)	
		a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>No</b> to all operty.	l of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	e propo	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
	•	summarize the conclusion		
		add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumn	nary and appropriate documentation may be:		
	•	submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
		maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		

Project or Property Name City of Windsor Urban Parks Plan - St. Clair College Prairie and Woods Project or Property Location (upper and lower or single tier municipality) City of Windsor Proponent Name City of Windsor Proponent Contact Information **Screening Questions** Yes No 1. Is there a pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process in place? 1 If Yes, please follow the pre-approved screening checklist, methodology or process. If No. continue to Question 2. Part A: Screening for known (or recognized) Cultural Heritage Value Yes No 2. Has the property (or project area) been evaluated before and found **not** to be of cultural heritage value? 1 If Yes, do not complete the rest of the checklist. The proponent, property owner and/or approval authority will: summarize the previous evaluation and add this checklist to the project file, with the appropriate documents that demonstrate a cultural heritage evaluation was undertaken The summary and appropriate documentation may be: submitted as part of a report requirement maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority If No, continue to Question 3. Yes No Is the property (or project area): 1 a. identified, designated or otherwise protected under the Ontario Heritage Act as being of cultural heritage value? b. a National Historic Site (or part of)? c. designated under the Heritage Railway Stations Protection Act? d. designated under the Heritage Lighthouse Protection Act? e. identified as a Federal Heritage Building by the Federal Heritage Buildings Review Office (FHBRO)? located within a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) World Heritage Site? If Yes to any of the above questions, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake: a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report, if a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has not previously been prepared or the statement needs to be updated If a Statement of Cultural Heritage Value has been prepared previously and if alterations or development are proposed, you need to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake: a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) - the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts If No, continue to Question 4.

Pa	t B: S	creening for Potential Cultural Heritage Value		
			Yes	No
4.	Does the property (or project area) contain a parcel of land that:			
	a.	is the subject of a municipal, provincial or federal commemorative or interpretive plaque?		$\checkmark$
	b.	has or is adjacent to a known burial site and/or cemetery?		$\checkmark$
	C.	is in a Canadian Heritage River watershed?		$\checkmark$
	d.	contains buildings or structures that are 40 or more years old?		<b>V</b>
Pa	rt C: O	ther Considerations		
			Yes	No
5.	Is there local or Aboriginal knowledge or accessible documentation suggesting that the property (or project area):			
	a.	is considered a landmark in the local community or contains any structures or sites that are important in defining the character of the area?		<b>/</b>
	b.	has a special association with a community, person or historical event?		$\checkmark$
	C.	contains or is part of a cultural heritage landscape?		$\checkmark$
		one or more of the above questions (Part B and C), there is potential for cultural heritage resources on the or within the project area.	)	
Υo	u need	to hire a qualified person(s) to undertake:		
		a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER)		
		perty is determined to be of cultural heritage value and alterations or development is proposed, you need talified person(s) to undertake:	:o	
	•	a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) – the report will assess and avoid, eliminate or mitigate impacts		
	<b>No</b> to a perty.	Il of the above questions, there is low potential for built heritage or cultural heritage landscape on the		
Th	e prop	onent, property owner and/or approval authority will:		
		summarize the conclusion		
		add this checklist with the appropriate documentation to the project file		
Th	e sumi	mary and appropriate documentation may be:		
		submitted as part of a report requirement e.g. under the Environmental Assessment Act, Planning Act processes		
		maintained by the property owner, proponent or approval authority		